1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS			
3	HOUSTON DIVISION			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . Criminal Action			
5	VERSUS . No. H-12-CR-691			
6	DAVID MORSE BARRY, . Houston, Texas . January 22, 2015			
7	. 10:36 a.m. Defendant.			
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEE H. ROSENTHAL			
11	SENTENCING			
12	APPEARANCES:			
13				
14	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:			
15	Ms. Sherri Zack Mr. Bob Stabe			
16	Assistant United States Attorneys UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002 713.567.9300			
17				
18				
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT:			
20	Mr. Robert T. Jarvis			
21	ROBERT T. JARVIS LAW FIRM, PC 123 West Houston			
22	Sherman, Texas 75090 903.892.8500			
23	bobjarvislawfirm@aol.com			
24				
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY STENOGRAPHIC MEANS, TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED FROM COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION			

1 2 3	THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN FURNISHED AT PUBLIC EXPENSE UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT AND MAY BE USED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY COURT ORDER. UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION WILL RESULT IN AN ASSESSMENT AGAINST COUNSEL FOR THE COST OF AN ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY AT THE OFFICIAL RATE.
4	GENERAL ORDER 94-15, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS.
5	
6	
7	PROBATION OFFICER:
8	Ms. Linda Wright-Bailey
9	
10	
11	COURT REPORTER:
12	GAYLE L. DYE, CSR, RDR, CRR 515 Rusk, Room 8007A
13	Houston, Texas 77002 713.250.5582
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Gayle Dye, CSR, RDR, CRR - 713.250.5582

	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	January 22, 2015
	3	THE COURT: State your appearances and be seated,
	4	please.
10:36:17	5	MS. ZACK: Sherri Zack and Bob Stabe on behalf of the
	6	United States, your Honor.
	7	MR. JARVIS: Good morning, Judge. Bob Jarvis for the
	8	Defendant.
	9	THE COURT: All right. The Court notes the presence
10:36:31	10	of Agent Chappell, as well. He was previously sworn previously
	11	in the hearing that immediately preceded this on the
	12	co-Defendant in the case. And he remains under oath if his
	13	testimony is required.
	14	You may be seated, sir.
10:36:38	15	All right. Mr. Jarvis, have you and Mr. Barry
	16	together carefully reviewed the presentence report, the
	17	objections, and the addendum?
	18	MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am.
	19	THE COURT: Do you have any objections beyond the ones
10:36:54	20	you stated in writing?
	21	MR. JARVIS: No, ma'am.
	22	THE COURT: All right. Let's take up those
	23	objections. And I want to do one first because I think it's a
	24	really important one, that is, in terms of its guideline impact.
10:37:12	25	You've objected to the to paragraphs 32, 47,

```
1 and 56 on obstruction which were imposed on the ground that you
        2 committed perjury in testifying as to certain matters that I
          found in my findings and conclusions were contradicted by the
           evidence, in particular, the evidence of the content of the
           electronic chats different from what Mr. Barry described;
10:38:08
          Mr. Barry's denial of existence of any of the photographs other
        7
           than the ones that included him; and that his denial that he
          knew of any of the photographs that showed Noonan with the
           children or that showed the children taken at Noonan's house;
       10
           and the denial of their presence on his own computer which was
10:38:36
       11
           contradicted by evidence as to the circumstances and electronic
           indicia surrounding the presence of the photographs on
           Mr. Barry's laptop, including electronic evidence relating to
       13
           their receipt, their access to them, accessing them, and sending
       14
           them on to others.
       15
10:39:11
       16
                          Here's my point on those objections -- and
       17
           probation will correct me if I'm wrong on this as a matter of
           quideline calculation -- you have argued in your objections that
       18
       19
           if I granted this, the guideline -- that if I sustained your
       20
           objection --
10:39:41
       2.1
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am.
       22
                     THE COURT: -- then the guideline -- the offense level
       23
           -- the quideline calculation would be affected because the
           offense level would be a 42 instead of a 44 which would result
       24
      25
           in a range of 324 to 405 months as opposed to 360 to life,
10:39:58
```

```
1
           correct?
        2
                     MR. JARVIS: No, ma'am. I think -- well, according to
        3
          my sentencing table, that would -- that would be a 41.
        4
                     THE COURT: Oh, yeah. No. I'm looking at the wrong
10:40:34
           column, sorry.
        6
                     MR. JARVIS: So, it would be dropping it down to a 42.
           But I think the Court, in order to get me to a 42 level --
        7
        8
                     THE COURT: No.
        9
                     MR. JARVIS: -- you'd have to grant both of my
           objections because that would be a total of four --
       10
10:40:44
       11
                     THE COURT: Right.
       12
                     MR. JARVIS: -- from where it is now.
       13
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       14
                     MR. JARVIS: So, if you just grant one, it doesn't do
10:40:54 15 me any good.
       16
                     THE COURT: It's a 43 now. It's life right now.
       17
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am. So, in order to get it down,
       18
           you need to grant both of my objections the way I calculate it.
                     THE COURT: I think that's correct. I think that's
       19
      2.0
10:41:05
           correct.
       2.1
                          May I see probation for a moment.
       22
                (Side-bar discussion off the record between the Court and
       23
           the probation officers.)
       24
                     THE COURT: Probation confirmed what I was saying
10:42:53 25 incorrectly but the result is the same. Under the quideline
```

```
1 grouping rules, even if I were to grant your objection, the
        2 quideline calculation would be unaffected because it would --
        3 and I'm not going to explain the technical reasons well, but the
           grouping rules would result in the -- and I'm going to ask
10:43:20
          probation to explain how they got there so that our record is
           clear -- the grouping -- because this is, in fact, a 44 that is
        7
           treated for quideline purposes the same as a 43 in terms of the
           consequence, it does -- it doesn't take it down to a 42 which
        8
           would be 360 to life because, even though you would think that,
       10
           the additional point given for the grouping that includes Counts
10:43:47
       11
           3S and 5S --
       12
                          It's 5, right?
                     THE PROBATION OFFICER: That's correct, your Honor.
       13
       14
                     THE COURT: -- would add another point back in. So,
           that would take us back down to life. That's the reason.
       15
10:44:07
       16
                     MR. JARVIS:
                                  Okay.
       17
                     THE COURT: It takes us back down to a 43 or 44, so it
       18
           doesn't change the guideline calculation even if you're right.
       19
                          Did I state it sufficiently to make our record
       2.0
           clear for a reviewing Court?
10:44:24
       2.1
                     THE PROBATION OFFICER: That's correct, your Honor.
                     THE COURT: All right, good. It's moot.
       22
       23
                     MR. JARVIS: I understand with that explanation,
       24
           Judge.
10:44:33 25
                     THE COURT: All right. Having said that, it is moot
```

	1	for guideline calculations. To the extent you want to argue the
	2	same kinds of points that bear on the 3553(a) analysis, I'm not,
	3	of course, in any way precluding you from doing that.
	4	And I want to thank probation for walking me
10:45:02	5	through what I find to be the most opaque part of the guideline
	6	calculation and counsel, obviously, agrees with me that it is
	7	opaque.
	8	MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am. A nice way to put that.
	9	THE COURT: Yes, thank you.
10:45:17	10	All right. Let's go back to the beginning of the
	11	other objections. You've made a host of objections to factual
	12	errors and omissions that all of which are addressed in the
	13	findings, in the conclusions, and in the motion for
	14	reconsideration or motion for judgment of acquittal and the
10:45:40	15	ruling that followed the motion for judgment of acquittal and
	16	denied it.
	17	MR. JARVIS: May I?
	18	THE COURT: Go ahead.
	19	MR. JARVIS: I had to write these objections and turn
10:45:51	20	them in before.
	21	THE COURT: I understand.
	22	MR. JARVIS: And so, with that, I understand how the
	23	Court has ruled. We just wanted to put those on the record.
	24	THE COURT: And that's fine. And for the record, I
10:46:01	25	will state that I have carefully considered them. I've

1 considered the response from the probation officer that the 2 information is sufficiently reliable, that it was supported by 3 the Government's investigative material; and I don't have to limit myself to that information in any event, that is, the information the Government had available at the time the 10:46:20 investigation occurred. 6 7 But primarily for the reasons stated in my 8 findings and conclusions and order denying the judgment of acquittal, the opinion on that, I do not find the arguments 10 relating to the factual information disclosed in the trial 10:46:39 11 record, in the PSR to be erroneous, particularly, not in a way 12 that affects quideline calculation. It doesn't, number one. 13 Number two, there is also a -- to the extent the 14 arguments -- without diminishing anything I just said with 15 respect to my own findings and conclusions and denial of 10:47:15 16 reconsideration in the form of a judgment of acquittal motion, 17 the Court denies -- the Court is not precluding Mr. Barry from 18 arguing these points under 3553(a). 19 I think exactly the same thing applies to your 20 objections under paragraphs 27, 42, and 51; and I think that 10:47:40 21 addresses all of your objections. 22 MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am. 23 THE COURT: The Court adopts the PSR, directs it be 24 made part of this record. Under the PSR, the total offense 25 level is 43 and the criminal history category is I, which is a 10:48:07

1

life sentence. There is a fine range but no ability to pay.

```
2 | I'm not going to impose a fine. I will, obviously, impose the
           $400 mandatory assessment.
        4
                          At this time, I'd like to hear from Mr. Barry and
           from counsel; and then, I'll hear from the Government. I don't
10:48:28
        6
           care which of you goes first, Mr. Jarvis, Mr. Barry.
        7
                     MR. JARVIS:
                                  Thank you.
        8
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Thank you, your Honor. While I've sat
           and listened to all this and read all the reports, your Honor, I
           duly respect the Court's decision in the matter of this case;
       10
10:48:48
       11
           but I still maintain my innocence; and I also am requesting with
           your Honor that, when you look at the sentencing guideline, that
           you take into consideration my age; and hopefully, you'll come
       13
       14
           up with a sentence where I won't have a chance not to get out
       15
           and, at least, see some of my family again and that you won't
10:49:19
       16
           let me stay in there and end up dying in prison.
       17
                          Thank you.
       18
                     THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
       19
                     MR. JARVIS: Judge, you've heard all the evidence and
      20
10:49:44
           the PSR, and I just want to highlight just a few things about
       21
           Mr. Barry. You know, as a former prosecutor, I understand these
       22
           are probably the worst cases, period, end of statement, worse
       23
           than a murder case.
       24
                          So, I understand what you said earlier in
      25 Mr. Noonan's sentencing about harsh sentences, and they're
10:50:00
```

1 designed to be harsh. And as a citizen of this country, I don't 2 have a big objection to that; but in this case I think we got a 3 few things that, hopefully, the Court will take into consideration and give him certainly less than a life sentence. 5 10:50:17 Honorably discharged from the military. He's 6 always worked or gone to school every day of his life. He 7 wanted to foster kids and so they did that. He ended up adopting the boys. They came to him developmentally delayed. He learned sign language in order to help them to begin to speak. Had special teachers and educators come to help him get 10 10:50:36 11 the kids -- get the boys up to speed. Well known at the school, 12 down there all the time. PTA, teacher conferences. 13 active in the boys' lives. Took them to church. Baptized them. 14 Coached their soccer team. 15 So, it's not this evil person that if you just 10:50:56 16 look at the type of crime he's been convicted of there is 17 nothing redeeming but there is. I've known David through this whole process from the Wichita Falls case on, about over four 18 19 years. I met him in his home. I met his parents in their home. 20 10:51:18 They're good people. He comes from good people. 2.1 He's lost everything, just simply everything. He just told me here a minute ago the termination of his parental 22 23 rights was held in October. So, all of that is done. He's lost his long time partner. He's lost his home. He's lost any work 24 10:51:39 25 possibilities. And he's lost the boys. His mom has died during

all this. 1 2 You look at 3553(a); and while the guidelines say 3 | it's an automatic life, the 3553(a) says you can take those into consideration. He's 56 years old. He's had a thyroid problem 10:52:00 for several, if not many, years now. 6 What kind of sentence does he need and society 7 need in order to press upon him what he did was wrong? I mean, you said it yourself in Mr. Noonan's sentencing: He was taken advantage of by Mr. Noonan. Now, doesn't mean he's not 10 responsible. And I agree completely. But he has no record, 10:52:16 11 never been arrested until he meets Craig Noonan and falls into 12 that vortex, which he should have known better and should have 13 protected his boys from. I agree completely. 14 But a life sentence? That's too much in this 15 case for this Defendant in my opinion. If you give him a 10:52:38 16 20-year sentence, 240 months, he's going to be 70-something, if 17 he lives that long, before he's eligible to be released; and he 18 might have a year left at that point of his life. I don't think 19 he's going to be a danger to society at that point. He 20 certainly will have learned his lesson; and all of the 10:52:59 21 restrictions that you will put on him, of course, he will abide 22 by. 23 But a 30-year sentence quarantees him death. He 24 won't live that long. And at his age with his history and the

10:53:14 25 way this case took place, I think a 20-year sentence certainly

```
satisfies 3553(a); and that's what we'd ask the Court to do is
        1
           give him some hope that at some point in time he might be
           released, if he lives that long.
        4
                     THE COURT: So, you want 240 months?
        5
10:53:38
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am.
        6
                     THE COURT: You don't want even 360?
        7
                     MR. JARVIS: We would like 240.
        8
                     THE COURT: That's what you've argued for, right?
        9
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am. A 20-year sentence.
           you, Judge.
       10
10:53:49
       11
                     THE COURT:
                                 Thank you, sir.
       12
                          Ms. Zack.
       13
                     MS. ZACK: Your Honor, there is no question that
       14
           Mr. Barry's actions caused irreparable harm to these children,
10:54:03
       15
           and your Honor indicated that Mr. Noonan was certainly a driving
       16
           force in some of it. But you also acknowledged that does not
       17
           negate Mr. Barry's culpability.
                          And I would point out that this Court heard from
       18
       19
           Mr. Barry. Mr. Barry is not a stupid man. Mr. Barry is a
       20
          well-educated man who has at times found successful employment
10:54:30
       21
           and successful endeavors. He was in a very long term, very
           stable relationship. He, if not physically, because we have no
       22
       23
           proof, though, I would argue he did, emotionally cheated on his
       24
           partner.
      25
                          The lengths he went to in order to accomplish
10:54:52
```

1 that, the surreptitiousness of it, the traveling to Houston, the 2 using the children I believe to meet whatever needs he and 3 Mr. Noonan had in this sexual depravity show an absolute lack of respect not only for the children but for the laws of the United States; and it also demonstrates his inability to acknowledge on 10:55:22 any level what was going on. 6 7 He sat before this Court and denied left and 8 right that he had any type of sexual interest in children. didn't provide these children just because that's what 10 Mr. Noonan wanted. Your Honor saw those chats. That is not 10:55:42 11 somebody who doesn't have a sexual interest in children. He 12 exposed them to somebody who is clearly a sexual predator. 13 I mean, there's no doubt about that, that 14 Mr. Noonan is a sexual predator; and he, too, became a sexual 15 predator when he turned those chats into something more and 10:56:11 16 allowed these children to be violated and photographed and 17 exposed to a lifestyle and a -- just activities that were 18 inconsistent with their proper development and with good 19 parenting and with sound judgment. 2.0 I think that a 240-month sentence is offensive to 10:56:41 what was done to them. I think that he was more culpable than Mr. Noonan in some ways because he was their parent and by 22 23 virtue of that should have protected them from anything and 24 everything. And instead, he walked them into the mouth of the 25 dragon and stayed there with them and used them for his own 10:57:10

sexual gratification and to cement a relationship with someone 1 2 else who had similar deviant interests. 3 I believe that a 360-month sentence is more in line with the activities and the culpability that Mr. Barry had; 4 and the fact that he may not survive that is of his own making, 10:57:37 not anything that this Court imposed. Your Honor didn't get to 7 decide at what date and time he decided to leave what was seemingly a very normal middle class, happy family existence and 8 go down that path. But he made a series of bad decisions 10 followed by bad decision followed by bad decision. 10:58:05 11 At any time, he could have stopped; but, no, he 12 went to Houston. He went to see Whittington. He did all of 13 these things; and while none of the Whittington behavior 14 necessarily affects the quideline calculation, it demonstrates 15 that this was not a one time thing. The chats demonstrate that 10:58:27 16 he was inviting other people over to partake of his children. 17 Whether that came to fruition or not, it 18 demonstrates that this is not -- he was not just a pawn. Did 19 Mr. Noonan use him? Absolutely. But he was a willing 20 participant and he wanted to be used. You saw the emotional 10:58:50 connection. It was ferreted out at the trial. 2.1 22 He absolutely is unwilling to accept or 23 acknowledge what that relationship was, for whatever reason; but his unwillingness to even accept any of that demonstrates why a 10:59:14 25 30-month (sic) sentence is appropriate to protect the public and

1 to protect other children because he clearly cannot control his 2 own behaviors and is, obviously, at the mercy of whatever it is 3 that allowed him to engage in a relationship with Mr. Noonan in the first place and any of those other individuals online. 5 He took it to the next level. And now, these 10:59:40 children have to pay for it. They were at a good place. Then, 7 they're removed from whatever they knew; and unfortunately, due to the laws of the United States, his partner has absolutely no claim on those children even though the partner had no 10 culpability and would have been a suitable caregiver; and it 11:00:04 11 would have maintained stability for those children. But now, 12 they have none of that, and they have none of that because of 13 him. 14 Hopefully, they will land in a good place, be 15 adopted by a good family, and have a good life; but the damage 11:00:21 16 can't be undone. And it's his fault, and he needs to take 17 responsibility for that. And I don't believe there's any level -- at any level does he think he did anything wrong to this day. 18 And I understand he has appellate rights and all that, but there 19 20 is absolutely -- and there has never been an acknowledgement 11:00:47 21 that he has a sexual interest in children and that what he did harmed them in that way. 22 23 And we would ask that your Honor fashion an 24 appropriate sentence and attach a lifetime supervision to that 11:01:12 25 in order to protect the public.

THE COURT: Mr. Jarvis, and then I'd like to see 1 2 probation. 3 MR. JARVIS: I have to take issue with just a few things. The chats only show an invitation to one person, out of 4 11:01:22 all of the chats that were available, to come and visit. There was never a chat to anybody that said --6 7 THE COURT: Well, it was come and visit for specified 8 purposes, as I recall, that included seeing the children naked, among other things. 10 MR. JARVIS: Yes, I understand that. But if -- and 11:01:35 11 again, you didn't believe this; but if you're a nudist, seeing 12 the kids naked is not a big deal. 13 THE COURT: Well, being nude together may not be a big 14 deal; but taking a visit for the express purpose of seeing the 15 children naked in the context of a chat about how large their 11:01:49 16 penises were and how they appeared naked I think is a little 17 more suggestive than you are indicating. 18 MR. JARVIS: And perhaps so, Judge. But had there 19 been the intent to do what the Government says he was clearly 20 saying he was going to do, allowing somebody to partake of his 11:02:07 children, why didn't he in any of those chats say that? 22 And neither did Mr. Noonan. Never once in all 23 their chats was there any insinuation between Mr. Noonan and Mr. Barry "I sure enjoy touching your kids" or anything near 11:02:26 25 that. Now, we have some posts and we've read those, but there's

1 nothing in the chats themselves that actually say that. 2 The other thing is the Government forgets that 3 there was never any evidence that Mr. Barry ever knew Mr. Noonan was a registered sex offender. 4 5 11:02:46 THE COURT: No, I don't think the Government suggested otherwise, and I certainly agree with you there is no such 6 7 evidence. 8 MR. JARVIS: Well, then, the argument that he willingly took them to the mouth of the lion, he didn't know 10 Mr. Noonan was a lion. And was it bad parenting? Absolutely. 11:02:59 11 And should it be punished? Absolutely. I agree with all that. My argument is if he didn't know he was a registered sex offender, surely, that would lower some of his degree of 13 14 punishment to where he shouldn't be given the life sentence or 15 the 360 months which is, in essence, a life sentence because he 11:03:15 16 actually didn't know. 17 Thank you, Judge. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 Did you want to respond to anything, Ms. Zack? 2.0 MS. ZACK: Just real quickly. It's not just that 11:03:24 there were all of the chats. And I know this Court looks at the 21 22 totality of the circumstances. It's the person he was chatting 23 with, the fact that those chats discussed the illegality. And 24 so, I think they were very careful in what they said, to some 11:03:42 25 extent, sometimes on those chats.

	1	THE COURT: Careful of what they sent.
	2	MS. ZACK: Right. Sorry, sent. Then, they
	3	THE COURT: Or not careful enough but careful
	4	MS. ZACK: Correct.
11:03:52	5	THE COURT: nonetheless.
	6	MS. ZACK: And it wasn't I think the Court fully
	7	acknowledges that it was contact not just with Mr. Noonan, not
	8	just with the chat person but also with Mr. Whittington. I
	9	mean, this was a network, this was not a one-time thing. This
11:04:07	10	wasn't just one incident. It was a cumulative comprehensive
	11	event that involved a lot.
	12	And while maybe each little thing could be
	13	explained away, when you put them all together, all it
	14	demonstrates is that this was a choice he made to do these
11:04:27	15	things and to put his children in harm's way.
	16	THE COURT: May I see probation.
	17	(Side-bar discussion off the record between the Court and
	18	the probation officers.)
	19	THE COURT: The Court, I believe, is ready to rule.
11:06:11	20	The lawyers and Mr. Barry sat through the last sentencing, and I
	21	don't need to repeat the general comments on these kinds of
	22	offenses.
	23	I do need to note that Mr. Barry is, again, a
	24	heartbreaking mixture of evidence of a life lived well and
11:06:37	25	evidence of a life lived with what amounts to cruelty to those

1 he was responsibile for loving and protecting and nurturing; and 2 having to sentence someone who stands before the Court with both 3 qualities is difficult. 4 I've upheld the guideline calculation; but it is 11:07:19 5 troubling to me in one respect -- and I've given the parties an indication of it -- and that is, that for reasons of technical 7 quideline arithmetic, in essence, a sentence that would have been for guideline purposes either 360 to life or 324 to life --I mean, to 405, depending -- since 43 and 44 are the same for quideline purposes, if you back up two it would be, in effect, 10 11:07:48 11 324 to 405 as opposed to life. That is nobody would think other than a very harsh sentence, particularly, for a man of 56 years 13 old; but it is not life. 14 It is not even 360 months. It is not 30 years, 15 it is 27 years. It is harsh enough, but it respects the 11:08:18 16 quideline structure while acknowledging two points. Number one, 17 the obstruction counts at this stage always make me queasy 18 because appellate review rights are intact and no one claimed 19 that this -- in a way this is the flip side of an argument that I properly rejected, that it could have been worse. 20 11:08:50 2.1 No one claimed that Mr. Barry knowingly exposed his children to physical acts that included penetration or other 22 23 even more egregious forms of sexual abuse of minors. We're not 24 there. Nor does anyone claim that Mr. Barry distributed the

25 photographs that he, I believe the evidence clearly shows, knew

11:09:30

1 about, helped produce, was involved in producing, and sent to 2 others. No one claims that he did so for profit. No one claims 3 that he did so for any purpose other than some fashion of personal satisfaction. Gratification is one way to describe it. 11:10:09 Whether it's the best way is not the point. So, I'm very queasy about, as a factual and legal basis matter, imposing a 7 perjury-based enhancement at this juncture, number one. 8 Number two, the reason that, with that included, this is a life sentence does rest on highly technical 10 considerations that I am uncomfortable with, to say the least, 11:10:44 11 because they don't reflect the 3553(a) factors. They don't even 12 reflect necessarily the seriousness of the offense conduct, the 13 seriousness of the criminal -- I mean, they don't correspond to anything except some rule for dealing with how you score 14 15 offenses that are numerous and in some way related to each other 11:11:10 16 but nonetheless different counts. That's all it is. And I 17 don't, as a result, propose to rely on it, that part of the 18 guideline calculation, as corresponding to or reflecting the 19 3553 analysis that I'm required to do. 2.0 Based on that analysis, I believe that it is 11:11:36 appropriate, considering the nature of the conduct and the 21 22 nature of Mr. Barry's person, of his qualities that, obviously, 23 made him highly vulnerable to what Mr. Noonan and others induced him to do -- he is fully responsible for his role, his 24 11:12:07 25 decisions. He knew what he was doing. He knew the fragile

3

7

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11:14:12 25

11:12:36

11:13:16

11:13:35

11:13:54

1 state of his own children -- he described it -- and the 2 responsibility that that itself imposed on him.

Taking all of that into account, the Court 4 believes that the appropriate sentence in this case is that 5 Mr. David Morse Barry be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 324 months, and that is on each of Counts -- each of the counts to be served consecutive -- concurrently -- concurrently -- for a total of 324 months.

When you are released from prison, Mr. Barry, 11 you'll be on supervised release for your life. That's life as to each count, again, concurrently. Within 72 hours of your release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, you must report in person to the probation office in the district to which you are released.

During supervised release, you must comply with all the standard conditions and with some additional conditions. Standard conditions include that you not commit any federal, state, or local crime; to not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon; that you cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample if that is authorized by law; and that you comply with the requirements of the Sexual Offender Registration Program. That includes that you report the address where you will live and any subsequent change of residence to the probation officer responsible for

11:14:29

11:14:47

11:15:05

11:15:28

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

7

1 your supervision and that you must register with the Sexual 2 Offender Registration Agency in any state where you live, work, carry on a vocation, or are a student or as directed by the probation officer. 5 The probation officer will provide the state officials with all information required by the State Sex Offender Registration Agency and may direct you to report to that agency personally for additional processing, including but not limited to photographing and fingerprinting. 10 You must also participate in a mental health 11 treatment program and/or sex offender treatment program as 12 provided by a registered sex offender treatment provider 13 approved by the United States Probation Officer. This may 14 include but need not be limited to counseling sessions, whether group or individual, able screening, polygraph testing, or 15 16 testing, whatever is available, at the appropriate time to

You will participate until you are -- as instructed and you must comply with all the policies and procedures of the sex offender program until you're released from that program with the approval of the probation officer. You will incur the costs associated with such sex offender treatment program and related testing based on your ability to 11:15:46 25 pay as the probation officer determines.

assist in diagnosing and treating and monitoring your case

administered by the sex offender contractor or its designee.

1 You give up your right of confidentiality in any 2 records for mental health treatment, including the treatment that results from this judgment, to allow the supervising probation officer to review your course of treatment and progress with the treatment provider. And I am going to 11:16:02 authorize the release of the presentence report and available 7 mental health evaluations to the mental health provider that is approved by the probation officer. 9 You are ordered not to live, work, access, or 10 loiter within 100 feet of schoolyards, playgrounds, or other 11:16:20 11 places primarily used by children under the age of 18 or where 12 children frequently congregate unless approved in advance in 13 writing by the probation officer. 14 You are ordered not to seek or maintain employment or to volunteer or participate in any way in any 15 11:16:38 16 program or activity that involves minors under the age of 18 17 without the prior written approval of the probation officer. This includes activities that are athletic, religious, 18 volunteer, civic or cultural in nature, designed for, targeted 19 20 to, and participated in by minors under the age of 18. 11:17:01 2.1 I order that you not have contact with minor 22 children under the age of 18 without the prior permission in 23 writing of the probation officer. I order that you not associate with or live with any individual who has children 24 25 under the age of 18 unless approved in writing in advance by the 11:17:18

probation officer.

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11:17:36

11:17:53

11:18:12

11:18:32

I order that you not view, possess, or have under your control any nude depictions of children, sexually-oriented or stimulating materials involving children, including visual, auditory, telephonic, or electronic media, computer programs, or services, again to expand whatever technology is available at the relevant period.

I order that you not patronize any place where such material or entertainment sexual in nature in any way is the primary source of business and that you not use any sex-related telephone number.

I order that you not subscribe to a computer online service or access the Internet service unless approved in advance in writing by the probation officer, and you may not possess software or other technology capable of doing so, again to encompass developments in technology that occur between now and supervised release, unless specifically approved in advance in writing by the probation officer.

And I finally order that you may have no contact 20 with your victims -- particularly heartbreaking in this case -or with the family that is now their family in any way, by writing or by any other means, including via a third party without the prior written consent in advance of the probation officer.

You must pay the United States a lump sum of

11:18:53 25

```
$400.
                  I've waived the fine. And there's no restitution
        1
        2
           obligation sought.
        3
                          Do the parties want a chance to negotiate the
           forfeiture or have you done so?
        4
        5
11:19:14
                     MR. JARVIS: We've agreed, Judge.
        6
                     THE COURT: All right.
        7
                          Do you have an order?
        8
                     MS. ZACK: Judge, I'm going to submit an agreed order
           of forfeiture as to both Defendants, and we would ask that you
       10
           order probation to include that forfeiture in the judgment --
11:19:23
       11
                     THE COURT: All right. So ordered.
       12
                     MS. ZACK: -- as to both Defendants.
       13
                          And then, I will also be moving to dismiss the
       14
           original indictment against Mr. Barry, as well; and I will
           submit all corresponding orders by close of business today.
       15
11:19:35
       16
                     THE COURT: All right, very good. I'll grant that
       17
           motion.
       18
                          Is there any request for designation to a
       19
           particular facility?
       2.0
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am. My understanding is there's
11:19:45
           a place in Butner, North Carolina, that handles these types of
       22
           cases.
       23
                     THE COURT: Well, it handles primarily, as I
       2.4
           understand it, the chronically ill. Now, you may argue that
11:19:57 25
           this is a form of chronic illness, but I think that they are
```

	1	more involved that that facility is primarily involved with
	2	old and very sick, because they're old, patients or patients
	3	with more complicated mental acute medical conditions.
	4	Am I correct?
11:20:16	5	MR. STABE: You are, your Honor. The main treatment
	6	sex offender treatment facility has now been moved to Devens,
	7	Massachusetts.
	8	MR. JARVIS: That is where we would prefer to be then,
	9	Judge.
11:20:27	10	THE COURT: All right. I am going to recommend I
	11	have no authority to bind, but I will recommend that the Bureau
	12	of Prisons consider designating Mr. Barry to a facility where
	13	the most promising source of sex offender counseling and
	14	treatment is to be found.
11:20:50	15	Mr. Barry, you, of course, have the right to
	16	appeal.
	17	MR. JARVIS: And to that, Judge, at this point in
	18	time, we would like to announce his desire to appeal. He's
	19	indigent at this point in time. We request a Court-appointed
11:21:05	20	attorney.
	21	THE COURT: All right.
	22	MR. JARVIS: Our contract is complete at this point.
	23	I guess I need in some Courts, I need to file a motion to
	24	withdraw.
11:21:11	25	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to require you to do that,

```
1
           too --
        2
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes.
        3
                     THE COURT: -- as soon as we get another lawyer
           identified.
        5
11:21:16
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes.
        6
                     THE COURT: But until then, will you file a written
        7
           notice of appeal?
        8
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am.
        9
                     THE COURT: Thank you.
                          And of course, Mr. Barry, you just invoked your
       10
11:21:23
       11
           right to request the appointment of an attorney because you
       12
           cannot afford one to represent you on the appeal.
       13
                          Has there been an affidavit -- financial
       14
           affidavit completed?
       15
                     MR. JARVIS: Probably not.
11:21:41
       16
                     THE COURT: You need to do that promptly, please.
       17
                     MR. JARVIS: Yes, ma'am.
       18
                     THE COURT: All right. And we can then move forward
       19
           on that.
11:21:52 20
                     MR. JARVIS: Okay.
       2.1
                     THE COURT: Will you work with him on that?
       22
                          Or I'll get Ms. Eddins to come in and talk to you
       23
           about it.
       24
                     MR. JARVIS: Thank you, Judge.
11:21:59 25
                     THE COURT: All right. Anything further that we need
                    Gayle Dye, CSR, RDR, CRR - 713.250.5582
```

	1	to do today?
	2	MS. ZACK: Nothing from the United States, your Honor.
	3	MR. JARVIS: Judge, the only thing I would like to add
	4	is I appreciate the Court's professional courtesies. When you
11:22:08	5	come in from way out of town, sometimes you don't know what
	6	you're getting into; and I appreciate the Court and the clerks
	7	and your people and, of course, the prosecutor's offices,
	8	especially, Mr. Chappell. They've been very courteous to us and
	9	helped us out in all of the things that we needed. So, I
11:22:23	10	appreciate that personally.
	11	THE COURT: Thank you. These cases are always
	12	difficult to try and decide, and both both lawyers and their
	13	people they work with were not only diligent but effectively
	14	represented their clients, very ably represented their clients,
11:22:51	15	and presented a case that the Court was I think it was well
	16	presented, let me just put it that way. Considering how
	17	difficult they are, that's not always easy to do.
	18	Thank you.
	19	MR. JARVIS: Thank you.
11:23:13	20	MS. ZACK: Thank you.
	21	(Proceedings concluded at 11:22 a.m.)
	22	CERTIFICATE
	23 24	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my ability.
	25	
	۷ ک	By: /s/ <u>Dayle L. Dye</u> , CSR, RDR, CRR  O3-09-2015  Date